

HEMINGFORD GREY PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Hemingford Grey Parish Council's Planning Committee Meeting held on Monday 12 July 2021 at 7.00 pm in the Pavilion, Manor Road

Present: Cllrs: Anne Meredith (Chairman) Nick Irish
Richard Allen Tony Jackson
Sue Duma Sarah Jakes
Riva Elliott Tim Puttick
Janice Flint Robin Waters

In attendance: Iain Muspratt (Hemingfords Action Group), District Cllr David Keane and Mrs Gail Stoehr (Clerk).

Comments and observations from members of the public and from the County and District Councillors

Iain Muspratt of the Hemingfords Action Group provided an update on the Mick George application and spoke to his written report. The Chairman thanked Mr Muspratt for monitoring the position and keeping the Parish Council informed.

1. To receive apologies for absence

None.

2. Declarations of interest from councillors on items on the agenda

None.

3. Matters arising

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of 21 June 2021 be approved and signed by the Chairman. (Prop AM, 2nd SJ, carried with 1 abstention)

There were no matters arising.

4. To consider planning applications, decision notices and tree works applications or pre-application approaches

4.1 Planning applications

4.1.1 21/80212/COND – St Francis House, 29 High Street – Conditional information for 20/00675/FUL: C3 (Windows and doors), C4 (Boundaries), C5 (Cycle store), C6 (Bio), C12 (Drainage), and C14 (CC spec)

RESOLVED, given that the information sent with the application was not particularly clear, to note the application but express concerns that the number of parking spaces does not match the eight in the original application as only 4 had been provided, for 29a and 29b. The provision on site should also include some spaces for 29 and visitor parking to reduce parking on the High Street. The Parish Council wishes to draw attention to the fact that it creates significant risk (photo to be sent). (Prop AM, 2nd RW, carried with 9 in favour and 1 against)

4.1.2 21/01413/HHFUL – 3 Priors Road – Extension to front and rear of an existing dwelling

RESOLVED to support the application as the garage extension is in line with the building line and the single storey extension will have no impact on the neighbours. (Prop AM, 2nd TJ, unanimous)

4.1.3 21/01359/HHFUL – 14 Church Street – Single storey rear extension

The site visit was conducted by Cllrs Meredith and Jackson.

RESOLVED to support the application, with the same comments as previously made, namely that the applicant is trying to make the best use of the space available. There is a party wall issue. (Prop TJ, 2nd RE, unanimous)

- 4.1.4 21/00277/HHFUL – 38 Marsh Lane – First floor extension, demolition of conservatory and rear extension. Amended application – to note response made between meetings under delegated powers
RESOLVED to note that the Clerk had submitted an objection with the following comments using delegated powers:
“The Parish Council still objects to the application.
The design is out of keeping with the street scene due to the large amount of glass used.
The size of the proposals is disproportionate with the scale of other developments on the street and is too large for the plot.
The proposed 6' boundary wall is out of keeping with the semi-rural nature of the area and native planting and hedging would be preferred.
It is noted that there is a concrete foundation at the end of the garden which is not referenced in the plans.
There is still a large amount of glass proposed for the street frontage and the amended plans still show extensions that would at least double the size of the property.
The building at the end of the site is not shown on the amended block plan and is not a garage as applied for in 20/01305/HHFUL. This strengthens the Parish Council’s opinion that the proposed extension would result in overdevelopment of the plot.
The wall on the southern boundary has been built is too high and out of keeping for the location.
This application should not be decided until the issue of the building at the end of the site and the brick wall are resolved as the building does not adhere to the planning permission granted.”
- 4.1.5 21/00464/HHFUL – 24 Hemingford Road – Proposed porch, side extension and new fenestration to existing dwelling
A visit had not been conducted.
RESOLVED to support the application based on the fact that the alterations were minor, the street scene is diverse and therefore there is no negative impact. ^(Prop AM, 2nd RW, unanimous)
- 4.2 Decision notices, appeals notices, enforcement notices and planning correspondence
- 4.2.1 21/00847/HHFUL – 8 Haley Close – Proposed construction of single storey and two storey extensions including associated external works and parking – Permission granted
- 4.2.2 21/00727/HHFUL – 6A The Thorpe – Single storey extension to existing bungalow – Permission granted
- 4.2.3 21/00326/HHFUL – 5 The Brambles, St Ives – Proposed patio and increase of height of part of boundary fence - Withdrawn
- 4.2.4 21/00194/HHFUL – 1 Lea Road – Erection of a brick wall to replace existing fence – Permission granted
- 4.3 Tree Works applications
None.
5. **Proposal that the Planning Committee considers the removal of the monkey puzzle tree at 10 High Street and if it should be reported to HDC**
Noted.
6. **Chairman’s report on other matters for information only**
None.
7. **Closure of meeting**
There being no further business the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 7.26 pm.
- Signed _____ (Chairman) _____(Date)